

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/010,959	11/30/2001	Mark Muhlestein	5693P272X	5673	
	7590 04/16/2007 PPLIANCE/BLAKELY		EXAMINER		
12400 WILSHIRE BLVD			KHOSHNOODI, NADIA		
SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,,		2137		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/010,959	MUHLESTEIN, MARK
Examiner	Art Unit
Nadia Khoshnoodi	2137

	Nadia Kilosiiiloodi	2137	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice o ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fort ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of se appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS		ما المعموم مما فعم الأس ك	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further collin (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see Now);	OTE below);	
appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	· -	ejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Almonto Clark a managed and	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4. 6-15, 42-45, 47-60, and 62-74</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a l d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> wit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	47	
	su	EMMANUEL L. MOI PERVISORY PATENT EX	se Kaminer

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants have amended the claims to specify that the "classification of the plurality of cluster devices is predetermined based on a performance criterion." In amending, Applicants have changed the scope of the claims and therefore further consideration and search is necessary.

Nadia Khrolmoodi 4/12/2007